

Using a Cross-Cultural Incentivized Lie Paradigm to Investigate Decision-making in the Asylum Setting


Dilhan Töredi

Jenny Skrifvars

Hedayat Selim

Mine Özaşçılar Öztürk

Jan Antfolk





Over eight million people applied for asylum in the past year globally, with many claims being based on social identity

Cross-Cultural Interviews

Individuals from individualistic cultures are more likely than individuals from collectivistic cultures

- to remember more central information than background information
- to reveal more personal information
- to focus more on the *self* in their narratives
- to provide longer accounts of specific events
- be more direct in their narratives



Asylum Interviewing Credibility Assessments

1. *detail and specificity*
2. *internal consistency* (e.g., within the applicant's statements)
3. *external consistency* (e.g., with external information)
4. *plausibility*

Psychological science has contested the validity of these indicators, especially in cross-cultural interviewing context.



Asylum Interviewing Research

1. Generalizability


2. Ground truth—whether statements are factually true or false



Cross-Cultural Incentivized Lie Paradigm (CCILP)

Cross-Cultural **Incentivized** Lie Paradigm

- Builds on the known-groups paradigm: Half of informants are instructed to tell the truth and half to fabricate information
- Establishing ground truth



Informants are incentivized to be perceived as credible through a reward if successful.

Data Collection (ongoing)

non-heterosexual and/or non-cisgender Turkish interviewees to provide truthful accounts of sexual identity–based experiences in Turkey

&

heterosexual cisgender Turkish informants to fabricate such experiences



Participants (Turkish)

- Goal Interview_n = 128
- advertisements on social media, contacting LGBTI networks, university sample pools, & personal networks
- EUR23 via gift cards (EUR20 + EUR3 “incentive”)



Interviewers (Finnish)

- Instructed and trained to ask questions that were based on evidence-based interviewing techniques and guidelines
- Follow a semi-structured interviewing protocol

Interpreters

- Finnish-Turkish interpreters, residing in Finland
- Legal interpreters working with the Finnish Immigration Service with real asylum cases
- Signed confidentiality agreements
- 60 EUR/hour



Maahanmuuttovirasto
Migrationsverket
Finnish Immigration Service

Crucial Ethical Considerations

- Personal, culturally embedded, and can be shaped by trauma
- Representative sample where the identity-based disclosures may be persecuted

Ethical Considerations & Procedure

🧠 Psikoloji deneylerine ilgi duyuyor musun?

💡 Ek gelir (1500 TL Gratis Gift Card) elde etmek ister misin?

Seni farklı ve yararlı bir araştırmaya davet ediyoruz!

Finlandiya'daki Åbo Akademi University ve Bahçeşehir Üniversitesi iş birliğinde gerçekleştirilen bu çalışmada:

- 🔗 Kisisel deneyimlerin hakkında görüşmeye katılabilir veya
- 🗣️ Kisisel deneyimlerin hakkında "kurgu" yapman istenebilir!

📌 **Kimler katılabilir?** Psikolojiye ilgi duyan ve araştırmalara katkı sağlamak isteyen, ana dili Türkçe olan, yetişkin, Türk vatandaşı olan ve daha önce iltica sürecinden geçmemiş herkes!

Detaylar için linke tıkla: <https://redcap.link/mvlf4j4n> ya da QR kodu okut! 📱



Hem katkı sağla hem kazan!



Sexual and Gender Identity Question



Truth-teller Consent Form

Deception Consent Form

QS? -> Make an anonymous email address (e.g.,
YOLO276@gmail.com NOT dilhan@gmail.com)

Consented -> Make a participant code: not personally-identifiable (e.g., not Dilhan123), but rememberable (e.g., YOLO3)!

Directed to Doodle (GDPR compliant)

1. Use our email address & their participant code
2. Select a date & timeslot, confirm noting them in their calendars with reminders
3. Confirm that they have copy pasted to given Zoom link to a secure place.

Before the interviews: Ensuring privacy & anonymity

- Enable Zoom's end-to-end encryption (E2EE) feature
- Enable waiting rooms
- Disable the "Join Before Host" feature and restrict screen sharing to the host
- Only admit in Zoom room the informant that matches the participant code assigned for the timeslot & lock each meeting as soon as the informant has joined
- Remind the interviewee of their group-assigned tasks & ask if there are any questions
- Admits the interviewer and the interpreter in the Zoom room, starts audio-recording, leaves the Zoom room

During the interviews


- What are your earliest memories of becoming aware of your sexual identity?
- How would you describe the way your sexual identity has developed over time?
- How would you describe the general attitudes toward sexual identity in your home country?
- How do you relate to social norms surrounding your *[disclosed sexual identity]*?
- Does the queer community play a role in your life?

After the interviews

- Audio recordings are stored in a password-protected local storage without internet access
- Interviews will be transcribed using BuzzAI, a local AI that does not upload or transmit data to external servers
- Interview transcripts will be further checked for accuracy, in relation to audio recordings, by individuals proficient in Finnish and Turkish.

Ongoing Study

RQ: Do truth-tellers' and liars' narratives differ based on diagnostically useful criteria?



What are we *quantitatively* coding for?


1. Credibility indices (UNHCR, EUAA)
2. Criteria-Based Content Analysis (CBCA; Köhnken & Steller, 1988; Steller & Köhnken, 1989), 19 items, such as descriptions of interactions with others, spontaneous corrections, and unusual details

CBCA in Asylum Context?

Based on the premise that experience-based statements are generally of higher quality than fabricated ones and therefore more likely to contain a greater number of CBCA criteria (Vrij, 2005)

- Oberlader et al. (2016): the presence of a criterion in the tool increases the likelihood that a statement is based on personal experience, but the absence of a criterion does not diminish a statement's credibility
- Selim et al. (2024): the use of CBCA in the asylum context should serve only to support, rather than challenge, the credibility of a claim

Thematic Analysis



Thank you for your attention!

I welcome your thoughts/questions.

**Dilhan Töredi, Jenny Skrifvars, Hedayat Selim,
Mine Özaşçılar Öztürk, Jan Antfolk**

